WO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 11 12 United States of America, No. CR-19-00970-001-TUC-RM (JR) 13 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 14 v. 15 Raymond Luna, 16 Defendant. 17 On September 25, 2019, Magistrate Judge Jacqueline M. Rateau issued a Report 18 and Recommendation (Doc. 80) recommending that this Court deny Defendant Raymond 19 Luna's Motion to Sever (Doc. 62). No objections to the Report and Recommendation 20 were filed. 21 A district judge must "make a de novo determination of those portions" of a 22 magistrate judge's "report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which 23 objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The advisory committee's notes to Rule 24 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure state that, "[w]hen no timely objection is 25 filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record 26 in order to accept the recommendation" of a magistrate judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) 27 advisory committee's note to 1983 addition. See also Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp., 170

28

F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999) ("If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error."); *Prior v. Ryan*, CV 10-225-TUC-RCC, 2012 WL 1344286, at \*1 (D. Ariz. Apr. 18, 2012) (reviewing for clear error unobjected-to portions of Report and Recommendation).

The Court has reviewed Judge Rateau's Report and Recommendation, the parties' briefs, and the record. The Court finds no error in Judge Rateau's Report and Recommendation.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 80) is accepted and adopted in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Raymond Luna's Motion to Sever (Doc. 62) is denied.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2019.

Honorable Rosemary Márquez United States District Judge